

Changes in a North Carolina Reef Fish Community after 15 Years of Intense Fishing—Global Warming Implications

R. O. PARKER, JR.,* AND R. L. DIXON

*National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service
Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Beaufort Laboratory
Beaufort, North Carolina 28516-9722, USA*

Abstract.—A North Carolina reef fish community was resurveyed with scuba gear to determine if changes occurred in community structure after 15 years of intense fishing. Generally, fishes important in the recreational and commercial fisheries were smaller, and large changes occurred in relative abundance and species composition. Indicative of a warming trend, total species composition of fishes had become more tropical, and a tropical sponge previously unrecorded at this latitude off the North Carolina coast became common. Two new (to the area) families and 29 new species of tropical fishes were recorded. Observations of 28 species of tropical reef fishes increased significantly. No new temperate species were observed, and the most abundant temperate species decreased by a factor of 22. Mean monthly bottom water temperatures in winter were 1–6°C warmer during the recent study. An increase in fish-cleaning symbiosis was especially noticeable.

Introduction

Diver observations and tagging studies indicate that reef fish communities remain relatively stable (deterministic theory) in the absence of external stimuli (Beaumariage 1969; Smith and Tyler 1975; Smith 1978; Brock et al. 1979; Parker et al. 1979; Fable 1980; Gladfelter et al. 1980; Anderson et al. 1981; Parker 1990; Greene and Shenker 1993). However, some investigators have shown reef fish communities to be unstable (stochastic theory) (Sale 1977; 1978a, 1978b; 1980a, 1980b; Sale and Dybdahl 1978; Talbot et al. 1978). Instability has often been associated with microhabitats such as coral heads and small patch reefs and often involves differences in recruitment timing of juvenile reef fish.

Parker (1990) conducted an underwater reef fish survey between 1975 and 1977 to determine species composition of a typical inshore reef fish community in a northern latitude and described abundance of recreationally and commercially important fishes. In this study we examined changes that occurred in this community after 15 years of intense fishing by commercial and recreational fishers. We compared the results from two 3-year diving surveys and catch data from local headboats (i.e., recreational fishing vessels with passenger capacities of more than six anglers and with passage usually charged on a per person or per "head" basis). This study was motivated by an obvious decline in fish size and catch per unit of effort

(CPUE) for some valuable species since 1975 (Huntsman et al. 1992; Parker and Mays 1998).

Methods

From 1990 to 1992 we repeated Parker's (1990) survey of rock outcroppings known by local fishermen as the "210 Rock." This reef was fished heavily during the 15 years separating the two surveys. Its 4-m maximum relief consists of bioeroded limestone and carbonate sandstone outcroppings (Newton et al. 1971) overgrown with sponges, hydroids, algae, soft corals, and diminutive hard corals separated by valleys of sand and broken shell. The ledges are located 44 km south of Beaufort Inlet, North Carolina (34°14'N, 76°35'W) in depths of 27–33 m (Figure 1). Observations and counts of fishes were made during scuba dives on Parker's (1990) station (marked by an underwater buoy on top of a 2-m ledge); we also surveyed five additional stations to increase sample size. Because of limited bottom time (~17 min breathing compressed air, 5 min to find the station, and a 3-min safety margin), only fishes important in the recreational and commercial fisheries were counted. Time was allocated for three dives per month, but adverse weather, lost surface buoys, or problems with the support vessel precluded some dives. In the initial survey, counts were made during 48 dives from October 1975 to March 1980; sampling effort was extended beyond the 3-year study period to obtain more data in winter months. In our repeat survey, we conducted 31 dives from April 1990 to July 1993 (Table 1). The senior author made most of the fish counts on the primary station during both survey periods, and

* Corresponding author: pparker@hatteras.bea.nmfs.gov




FIGURE 1.—The 210 Rock is 44 km south of Beaufort Inlet in depths of 27–33 m. Only catch and effort data from 210 Rock study site and the surrounding area (delineated by the outer square) were used in the analyses.

the junior author conducted most of the fish surveys of the additional stations. Fish counts at only the primary station were compared between the two surveys, whereas total fish species composition on all dives was compared between the surveys.

We used the Wilcoxon two-sample test (non-parametric comparisons) to test the hypothesis of no difference in tropical species frequency of occurrence between the two study periods ($\alpha = 0.05$). Frequency of occurrence data were adjusted by differences in sampling effort among years and

sorted in descending order in the first study and ascending order in the second to show temporal groups.

We based our definitions of tropical and temperate species on the ranges of fishes given in Robins et al. (1986), tropical species being common to the Caribbean, Bahamian, and south Florida banks and temperate species being common north of Cape Hatteras.

Bottom water temperature and secchi disk (30 cm in diameter) readings were taken during the first dive after recording counts and behavior of

TABLE 1.—Number of dives per month that included counts of fishes important in the recreational and commercial fisheries.

Month	Initial survey (Parker 1990)							Recent survey				
	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	Total	1990	1991	1992	1993	Total
Jan			2		2		4					
Feb						1	1		1			1
Mar		1	1		2	1	5			1		1
Apr		2	1				3	1				1
May		1	1	1	1		4		1	1	2	4
Jun		1	1	2	3		7	1		1	1	3
Jul		1	1	3			5		1	1	1	3
Aug		1		5			6	3	3	1		7
Sep			2	1			3	2	2			4
Oct	1	1	1	1			4		1	1		2
Nov	2	2	1				5	3	1	1		5
Dec			1				1					
Total	3	10	12	13	8	2	48	10	10	7	4	31

fishes. Bottom water temperatures were recorded from a hand-held thermometer during both studies and a temperature data logger during the recent study. Lateral secchi disk measurement was used to estimate radius visibility for 360° at each station; 75% of the distance measured was used to calculate sample area because fishes fade from view beyond that (Parker 1990). Dives were scheduled near the time of full moon, when many reef fishes spawn (Colin et al. 1987; Gilmore and Jones 1992). In addition to counting fish, divers recorded conspicuous fish behavior such as spawning, feeding activities, cleaning symbiosis, and defense of territories.

Catch and fishing effort (in angler days, defined as one angler fishing aboard a headboat with a rod and reel for about 6 h, excluding transit time) by species for the headboat fishery were calculated from catch reports submitted by vessel personnel as part of the U.S. South Atlantic Headboat Survey

during 1975–1977 (Dixon and Huntsman, in press) and 1990–1992 (Dixon et al., unpublished data). Catch and effort data from nine statistical reporting grids (16 × 16 km each), adjacent to and inclusive of the 210 Rock were used in the analyses. Mean weights by species were calculated from individual fish weights collected during dockside sampling of headboat landings.

Results

Abundance

As indicated by headboat survey data and underwater observations, fishes important in the recreational and commercial fisheries were generally smaller in the recent study, and large changes in relative abundance and species composition were apparent. Total species composition of fishes shifted toward a more tropical assemblage (Appendix; Tables 2, 3), and a tropical sponge previously un-

TABLE 2.—Changes in the assemblage (by frequency of observation) of tropical fishes at 210 Rock off Beaufort, North Carolina, between the 1975–1980 and 1990–1993 surveys. Observation category is based on the number of dives on which a species was observed (rare, ≤5 dives; occasional, 6–10 dives; common, 11–20 dives; and abundant, >20 dives); *N* = number of species.

Observation category (<i>N</i>)	Number of new species (%)	Number of species that:			
		Increased substantially ^a (%)	Decreased substantially ^b (%)	Vanished (%)	Changed slightly ^c (%)
Rare (29)	16 (55)	1 (3)	0 (0)	9 (31)	3 (10)
Occasional (14)	6 (43)	5 (36)	1 (7)	2 (14)	0 (0)
Common (19)	5 (26)	10 (53)	1 (5)	0 (0)	3 (16)
Abundant (28)	2 (7)	11 (39)	0 (0)	0 (0)	15 (54)
Total (90)	29 (32)	27 (30)	2 (2)	11 (12)	21 (23)

^a More than twofold. (Table 3 lists species that increased significantly, $P \leq 0.05$).

^b More than 50%.

^c Less than a factor of 2.

TABLE 3.—Frequency of occurrence (in proportion of dives each year) of tropical fish species observed during only one of the two surveys or, if observed during both surveys, that increased significantly ($P \leq 0.05^*$) in frequency between surveys at 210 Rock off Beaufort, North Carolina. Species are sorted in descending order in the initial survey and ascending order in the recent survey according to their adjusted frequency of occurrence by year, thus delineating warmer winter tropical groups. See Table 1 for number of dives each year.

Species	Initial survey (Parker 1990)						Recent survey			
	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1990	1991	1992	1993
Scamp*	1.0	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.5		0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
Cocoa damselfish*	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.4		0.9	1.0	0.7	0.8
Spanish hogfish*	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.0
Spotfin hogfish*		0.4	0.2	0.1		0.5	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0
Bluehead*	0.3		0.4	0.2	0.1		0.7	0.8	0.7	0.3
Knobbed porgy*		0.3	0.4	0.3			1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0
Spotfin butterflyfish*		0.5	0.3	0.1			0.7	0.6	0.7	1.0
Longspine porgy			0.1	0.3	0.4					
Vermilion snapper		0.3	0.1		0.4					
Conger sp. eel			0.1		0.1	0.5				
Spotted drum	0.7									
Bandtail puffer*	0.3	0.2					0.7	1.0	0.6	0.3
Sea chub	0.3	0.1								
Yellowtail damselfish	0.3									
Reticulate moray		0.2	0.1							
Dusky damselfish*		0.2	0.1				0.3	0.1	0.4	1.0
Crested blenny					0.3					
Queen angelfish*		0.2					0.8	0.5	0.7	0.8
Reef butterflyfish*			0.2				0.3	0.3	0.7	0.5
Honeycomb moray					0.1					
Puffer			0.1							
Sand perch*			0.1				0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3
Spotted goatfish*				0.1			0.5	0.8	0.4	0.3
Mojarra							0.1			
Scrawled filefish								0.1		
Toadfish									0.1	
Boxfish									0.1	
Stoplight parrotfish							0.2			
Schoolmaster							0.1	0.1		
Rock beauty							0.1	0.1		
Red cornetfish							0.1		0.1	
Sharptail eel								0.1	0.1	
Red hind									0.3	
Creole wrasse*							0.1	0.1	0.1	
Glasseye snapper								0.1	0.3	
Tattler*								0.1	0.1	0.3
French angelfish*								0.2	0.1	0.3
Graysby*							0.1	0.1	0.4	
Banded butterflyfish								0.4	0.3	
Trumpetfish*							0.2	0.3	0.3	
Night sergeant*							0.4	0.4	0.1	
Inshore lizardfish*								0.1	0.4	0.5
Squirrelfish								0.5	0.7	
Scarus sp. parrotfish*							0.1	0.7	0.4	
Butter hamlet*							0.2	0.6	0.4	
Yellowmouth grouper*							0.1	0.1	0.6	0.5
Sparisoma sp. parrotfish*							0.1	0.6	0.4	0.3
Rock hind*							0.2	0.5	0.6	0.5
Bigeye*							0.5	0.6	0.7	0.5
Doctorfish*							0.6	0.9	0.9	
Hogfish*							0.6	0.9	0.9	0.8

recorded at this latitude off the North Carolina coast became prominent.

Although commercial landings of reef fishes and headboat fishing effort in North Carolina had increased since 1977 (Figure 2), the mean weights

of 15 of the 20 target species (economically important) declined (Table 4). The CPUE in number of fish increased for most target species; exceptions were red porgy, red snapper, black sea bass, and greater amberjack (Table 4). The increase in




FIGURE 2.—Landings of reef fishes for the North Carolina headboat and commercial fisheries and fishing effort for the North Carolina headboat fishery, 1975–1992.

reported landings of bank sea bass is misleading because earlier catches, although recognized by headboat personnel as a different species, were reported as black sea bass. Also, during 1975–1977, some almaco jacks might have been reported as greater amberjacks. Some tropical species that were previously rare or absent during the 1975–1977 headboat catches from 210 Rock and the sur-

TABLE 4.—Changes in reported catch, catch per unit effort (CPUE), and mean weight (\pm SE) of target species between the 1975–1977 period and the 1990–1992 period for the headboat fishery in the 210 Rock area; *N* = sample size.

Species	1975–1977				1990–1992			
	Number landed	CPUE	Mean weight (kg)	<i>N</i>	Number landed	CPUE	Mean weight (kg)	<i>N</i>
Red porgy	12,157	2.42	1.10 \pm 0.01	1,455	13,423	1.72	0.51 \pm 0.01	1,386
Whitebone porgy	423	0.08	0.71 \pm 0.04	45	665	0.09	0.52 \pm 0.02	136
Knobbed porgy	32	0.01	1.08 \pm 0.07	39	1,912	0.25	0.64 \pm 0.02	223
Spottail pinfish	555	0.11	0.52 \pm 0.02	92	11,296	1.45	0.30 \pm 0.00	1,212
Vermilion snapper	8,563	1.70	1.00 \pm 0.02	747	30,634	3.94	0.40 \pm 0.01	1,764
Red snapper	94	0.02	5.09 \pm 0.28	126	129	0.02	2.36 \pm 0.29	41
Red grouper	7	0.00	4.20 \pm 0.91	20	98	0.01	2.93 \pm 0.26	42
Rock hind	3	0.00	1.03 \pm 0.09	8	99	0.01	0.61 \pm 0.05	26
Graysby			0.36	1	217	0.03	0.41 \pm 0.04	9
Gag	830	0.16	5.71 \pm 0.25	286	2,330	0.30	2.85 \pm 0.09	308
Scamp	267	0.05	3.28 \pm 0.33	84	1,166	0.15	1.12 \pm 0.07	263
Black sea bass ^a	30,404	6.04	0.44 \pm 0.01	538	6,995	0.90	0.33 \pm 0.02	845
Bank sea bass ^a			0.14 \pm 0.01	40	3,185	0.41	0.24 \pm 0.03	194
White grunt	7,167	1.42	0.71 \pm 0.01	904	16,476	2.12	0.43 \pm 0.00	2,042
Tomtate	167	0.03	0.19 \pm 0.01	30	9,163	1.18	0.21 \pm 0.00	488
Gray triggerfish	2,627	0.52	2.30 \pm 0.05	211	6,373	0.82	1.22 \pm 0.03	500
Greater amberjack ^b	309	0.06	9.77 \pm 0.86	63	454	0.06	8.71 \pm 0.80	41
Almaco jack ^b			6.75 \pm 0.49	42	138	0.02	5.81 \pm 0.51	54
King mackerel					308	0.04	3.48 \pm 0.29	30
Little tunny					78	0.01	4.18 \pm 0.44	8

^a During 1975–1977, headboat personnel reported catches of bank sea bass as black sea bass, so 1975–1977 catches of bank sea bass were actually higher than reported and black sea bass catches were lower.

^b During 1975–1977, headboat personnel probably reported almaco jacks as greater amberjacks, thereby inflating greater amberjack catches and deflating almaco jack catches.

TABLE 5.—Changes in reported catch, catch per unit effort (CPUE), and mean weight (\pm SE) of tropical fish species that were absent or rare during the 1975–1977 surveys and that significantly increased in numbers landed between the 1975–1977 survey and the 1990–1992 survey of the 210 Rock area; N = sample size.

Species	1975–1977				1990–1992			
	Number landed	CPUE	Mean weight (kg)	N	Number landed	CPUE	Mean weight (kg)	N
Lane snapper <i>Lutjanus synagris</i>					100	0.0128		
Gray snapper <i>L. griseus</i>					1	0.0001		
Red hind	4	0.0008	0.95 \pm 0.12	9	39	0.0050	0.82 \pm 0.08	22
Yellowfin grouper <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>					1	0.0001	1.00 \pm 0.44	5
Queen triggerfish <i>Balistes vetula</i>	1	0.0002			108	0.0139	1.00 \pm 0.20	3
Squirrelfish	6	0.0012	0.39 \pm 0.16	2	76	0.0098	0.39 \pm 0.03	4
Short bigeye <i>Pristigenys alta</i>					30	0.0038	0.42 \pm 0.04	7
Bigeye					538	0.0691	0.86 \pm 0.04	37
Trumpetfish					1	0.0001		
Hogfish					3	0.0004	5.30	1

rounding area were landed more frequently during 1990–1992 (Table 5).

Sea basses were the most abundant predators we observed while diving on 210 Rock, and scamps surpassed gags as the most predominant sea bass. Visual estimates in the initial survey were 673 gags/ha and 30 scamps/ha; recent visual estimates were 204 gags/ha and 300 scamps/ha (see Table 6 for species abundance and density data). The frequency of occurrence of red grouper increased more than fivefold, from 6% to 32% (see Appendix for frequency data) and four previously unrecorded

species of sea bass were observed: yellowmouth grouper, rock hind, red hind, and graysby. Yellowmouth grouper, which ranged from 200 to 500 mm long, were seen eight times (bicolor phase only, probably because the uniform coloration of this species is difficult to distinguish from that of the scamp underwater). The frequency of occurrence of bank sea bass increased slightly from 92% to 96%, but black sea bass (the most abundant temperate species) decreased from 92% to 68%. Visual estimates of black sea bass decreased by a factor of 22, from 1,554 to 70 fish/ha.

TABLE 6.—Visual estimates of abundance of prominent species at 210 Rock important in the recreational and commercial fisheries off Beaufort, North Carolina; N = number of counts.

Species	1975–1980			1990–1993		
	Total fish ($N = 48$) ^a	Fish/ha ($N = 33$ –48) ^b	95% Confidence interval (fish/ha)	Total fish ($N = 31$) ^a	Fish/ha ($N = 21$ –28) ^b	95% Confidence interval (fish/ha)
Gag	800	673	328–1,018	116	204	29–379
Scamp	57	30	10–50	293	300	136–464
Black sea bass	898	1,554 ^c	440–2,668	52	70	10–130
Red snapper	70	32	10–54	0		
Vermilion snapper	1,063	785	0–2,113	0		
Red porgy	193	254	0–527	22	33	0–72
White grunt	329	246	113–378	212	414	0–851
Tomtate	24,666 ^d	41,934	0–86,501	26,287 ^e	17,111	2,618–31,604
Round scad	24,700 ^f	16,795	1,766–31,824	10,000 ^g	3,017	0–9,310

^a Includes counts in unmeasured visibility.

^b Some counts are less than "total" N because fishes observed on some dives but not counted could not be averaged; thus the entire record for some dives had to be omitted from the analysis.

^c 2,309 Black sea bass/ha; 5 Feb–9 Jul 1976 comparison.

^d Counts = 36 with 15 in the 100s; 8 counts in 100s at the same time round scad counts were in 100s.

^e Counts = 19 (seen 28 times total).

^f Counts = 40 with 13 in the 100s; 8 counts in 100s at the same time tomtate counts were in 100s.

^g Counts = 2 (seen four times total).

Snappers were much less frequently observed than sea basses. Snappers in general, and vermilion snapper in particular, tended to avoid divers; when sightings occurred, they were fleeting. Vermilion snapper are mesopelagic feeders (Dixon 1975) and, thus, could be missed by divers concentrating on bottom fishes. Densities of red snapper and vermilion snapper both decreased from 32 and 785 fish/ha, respectively, to 0 fish/ha. Schoolmasters were seen for the first time in November 1990 and again in February 1991.

We observed only two species of grunts while diving at 210 Rock and both are permanent residents. The frequency of occurrence of the white grunt increased slightly (94–97%), but its density increased nearly twofold from 246 to 414 fish/ha. Tomtates increased in frequency of occurrence from 65% to 84% but decreased in density from an estimated 41,934 to 17,111 fish/ha. Estimates of tomtates were highly variable because of the presence and absence of large schools, which sometimes included thousands of juveniles.

The two most abundant porgies at 210 Rock were observed less frequently in the recent study: occurrence of red porgy decreased from 60% to 45% and spottail pinfish decreased from 71% to 45%. Density of red porgy decreased from 254 to 33 fish/ha. The frequency of occurrence of knobbed porgy increased almost fourfold, from 25% to 97%.

Hogfish, caught both recreationally and commercially, were seen for the first time in the recent study. They were observed during 77% of the dives.

Round scad, one of the primary food sources for large reef fishes, decreased in frequency of occurrence from 54% to 16% and in density from 16,795 to 3,017 fish/ha. Like the tomtate, round scad estimates were highly variable due to the presence and absence of large schools, which sometimes included thousands of individuals.

Twenty-nine tropical fishes were recorded for the first time, and 27 other tropical fishes increased more than twofold in frequency of occurrence during the 1990–1993 study, whereas only two tropical fishes decreased by more than 50% (Table 2). Eleven tropical fishes recorded previously were not observed during the recent study. Thus, there was a net increase in occurrence for 43 tropical fish species, 28 of which increased significantly ($P \leq 0.05$; Table 3). Occurrences of new tropical species and those with increased occurrences in the recent study were represented in all four observation categories: rare, occasional, common, and abundant (Table 2).

The Scaridae (parrotfishes) was a conspicuous new family of reef fishes on 210 Rock. Although parrotfishes were not recorded during the earlier study, one juvenile parrotfish *Sparisoma* sp. was photographed in 1975 with other reef fishes at a cleaning station on 210 Rock (Parker and Ross 1986). Two juvenile stoplight parrotfish were seen in the recent study, and one about 120 mm in total length was photographed. This is a northern latitude distribution record (S. W. Ross, Center for Marine Science Research, personal communication), but the absence of adults indicates that this species does not spawn in the study area.

Three unidentified species were observed for the first time in the recent study: a mojarra (another family of reef fishes new to the study area), a toadfish, and a boxfish. The boxfish was a young of the year, about 30 mm long \times 20 mm wide \times 20 mm high, with alternate black and yellow 5-mm² markings. Other species, not previously mentioned, observed for the first time were (in order from the most to least frequently encountered) doctorfish, bigeye, squirrelfish, night sergeant, trumpetfish, inshore lizardfish, banded butterflyfish, glasseye snapper, sharptail eel, tattler, creole wrasse, goby, red cornetfish, and scrawled filefish. In addition, butter hamlet in five color phases (butter, barred, indigo, blue, and yellowbelly) and two new species of angelfish, rock beauty and French angelfish, were observed for the first time.

Many species increased threefold or more. The blue angelfish and queen angelfish increased about 3-fold and 16-fold, respectively (Appendix). Other species that exhibited increases of this magnitude include spotted goatfish (26-fold), yellow goatfish (13-fold), spotfin butterflyfish (3-fold), reef butterflyfish (10-fold), sunshinefish (21-fold), blue chromis (3-fold), dusky damselfish (5-fold), spotfin hogfish (5-fold), bluehead (3-fold), ocean surgeon (3-fold), blue tang (13-fold), and bandtail puffer (11-fold).

No new temperate fishes were recorded during the recent study. Pelagic species (e.g., sharks, jacks, and mackerel) were recorded, but they were not the focus of this study. The basket sponge, also a tropical species, was recorded for the first time during the recent study and appeared to be abundant, although density was not estimated (Appendix). This species was previously recorded only as far north as Cape Fear, North Carolina, 96 km south of our study site. A report by the South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department and Duke University Marine Laboratory (SCWMRD and DUML 1982) also supports the absence of basket sponges




FIGURE 3.—Mean monthly (January–December) bottom water temperatures at 210 Rock south of Beaufort Inlet, North Carolina; N = monthly records; bars indicate the range of temperatures). (Top) Early survey data (black dots) are shown overlaying recent data (gray bars). (Bottom) Recent survey data (black dots) overlay data from earlier survey (gray bars).

in the vicinity of 210 Rock during our earlier survey.

Temperature

Mean monthly bottom water temperatures were warmer by 1–6°C from January through March and slightly cooler (<1.7°C) from April through December during the recent study (Figure 3). Bottom water temperatures during January through March in the recent survey ranged from 11.9°C (18 March 1993) to 22.7°C (30 March 1993; A. Powell, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Beaufort Laboratory, unpublished data) compared with 5.7°C (9 February 1977) to 19.4°C (26 March 1976) in the earlier survey. Bottom water temperatures during April through December ranged from 14.3°C in December to 28.5°C in August recently (Powell, unpublished data) compared with 16.3°C in December to 28.0°C in August formerly.

In the 1975–1977 study, two successive years of extremely low bottom water temperatures were recorded: 7.8°C on 5 February 1976 and 5.7°C on 9 February 1977. The only other bottom water

temperature recorded in February during this period was 13°C on 25 February 1976. In the recent survey, February daily bottom water temperatures ranged from 14.2°C to 18.9°C, and no extreme lows occurred during the 1991–1993 period (Powell, unpublished data). These data suggest a major shift to warmer bottom water temperatures in winter.

Discussion

Although our study was undertaken to record the in situ effects of 15 years of intense fishing on a North Carolina reef fish community, we found that changes associated with environmental factors confounded that objective and were more dramatic. Dockside samples and catch records showed that, generally, average weights of economically important fishes were smaller but that landings by weight increased because fishing effort increased. Our underwater observations supported smaller average sizes of target species, but they also indicated large changes in relative abundance and a major increase in tropical species.

Headboat landings and CPUE increased for tropical fish species (Table 5) usually associated with reefs off Florida, the Bahamas, and the Caribbean islands and decreased substantially for black sea bass, a temperate species. Although increased fishing effort could cause the decline in black sea bass populations, this does not explain the increase in the more tropical species. In the early and mid-1970s, these tropical species (lane snapper, red hind, yellowfin grouper, squirrelfish, short bigeye, coney *Epinephelus fulvus*, queen triggerfish, bigeye, hogfish, and trumpetfish) were reported in headboat landings from the Cape Fear, North Carolina, area but were rarely caught north of 34°N latitude. Headboat personnel are known for their interest in rare or unusual species and would have recorded them on catch logs or contacted a port agent for identification.

Behavioral observations support tropical fishes establishing residency rather than simply passing through. A spawning ritual of hogfish was observed 7 May 1993, and at least 12 species of young-of-the-year reef fishes were seen during our two surveys. At least nine species of young-of-the-year reef fishes were seen during the recent survey, whereas seven species were seen earlier (Table 7). Cleaning symbiosis involving wrasses and gobies was observed on seven occasions during the recent study but only on three occasions previously, when water temperatures were above 20°C.

TABLE 7.—Approximate numbers of young-of-year fishes observed during June 1976–July 1978 and during August 1990–July 1992 and corresponding bottom water temperatures (temp).

Survey period and date	Species										Temp (°C)
	Tomtate	Purple reef-fish	Yellow-tail reef-fish	Spottail pinfish	Sea basses	Snappers	Blue chromis	Blue-head	Un-known jacks	Others	
1976–1978											
24 Jun 1976						1,000s					
17 May 1977			2	1,000s							21.5
17 May 1978										1,000s	20.8
31 May 1978	100s	100s			100s						21.0
12 Jun 1978		>50									20.3
21 Jun 1978		>24	1								20.8
13 Jul 1978		>24	6								27.0
1990–1992											
3 Aug 1990							>24				
23 Aug 1990										1,000s	28.6
27 Nov 1990	100								50 ^a		21.4
30 May 1991	1,000s	>50	>24								26.0
5 Sep 1991								>24			28.9
16 Sep 1991										1 ^b	27.2
30 Jul 1992										1 ^c	26.2

^a All observed around a surface buoy.
^b One scamp (approximately 60 mm).
^c One bigeye (approximately 25 mm) seen around a surface buoy.

In September 1995, the United Nations sponsored an Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, which unofficially concluded that there is a 90% or better chance that global warming had begun (Kerr 1995). Global warming has been implicated by long-term global changes in atmospheric conditions: for example, CO₂ increase from 200 (World Meteorological Organization 1990) and 160,000 (Barnola et al. 1987) years of data and surface air warming from 100 years of data (World Meteorological Organization 1990; Hansen and Lebedeff 1987).

Warmer water is affecting marine ecosystems. Global sea-surface temperature maps show that coral reef bleaching episodes between 1983 and 1991 followed warm-season hot spots (i.e., >1°C above long-term monthly averages; Goreau and Hayes 1994). Recent marine species range extensions also have been related to warmer water temperatures. Barry et al. (1995) related climate warming between 1931 and 1994 to northward shifts in invertebrate ranges in a California rocky intertidal community: abundance for eight of nine southern species increased and five of eight northern species decreased. Murawski (1993) examined bottom-trawl surveys of the northwest Atlantic Ocean between 1967 and 1990 and associated statistically significant poleward range extensions of two pelagic and five demersal fish species with warmer (1°C) water temperatures.

Although intrusion of deeper, cold (21.0–22.5°C) Gulf Stream water into Onslow Bay (where 210 Rock is located) during the summer has been reported (Blanton 1971; Stefansson et al. 1971; Blanton and Pietrafesa 1978; and Hofmann et al. 1981), no severe winter cold water (<8°C) intrusions have been documented. Mathews and Pashuk (1984) summarized water temperature data from 1940 to 1981 between Cape Fear, North Carolina (96 km south of our study site), and Cape Canaveral, Florida. They found that 1963, 1977, and 1978 were the coldest (<8.5°C) winters on record in South Carolina coastal waters (<18 m deep). In a summary of additional data, Mathews and Pashuk (1986) found that from 1973 to 1979, the winter bottom (28–55 m) water temperatures remained above 10.3°C. Thus, it appears that our winter bottom water temperature data are unique to our study site location, depth, and time.

Our results indicate that increases in abundance and numbers of reef species toward a more tropical community were most likely due to warmer winter bottom water temperatures. Twenty-nine tropical fishes and the basket sponge, first recorded at the study site during the recent survey, were not observed prior to the extreme low recordings of bottom water temperatures in February of 1976 and 1977. Overall, 28 species of tropical reef fishes increased significantly during the recent survey. Spring, summer, and fall bottom water tempera-

tures were similar during the two surveys (Figure 3). Although more stations were surveyed during the recent study (6 versus 1), fewer dives (total effort) were made. The additional stations (to search for more species and to observe fish behavior, as time permitted) were along a ledge that was included in the initial survey. Thus, the dramatic increase in tropical species during the recent survey appears unrelated to differences in sampling effort.

We observed fewer piscivorous fishes in the recent survey, but the reduction probably had little to do with the proliferation of tropical fishes in the area. Our estimated abundance of eight piscivorous species (excluding round scad—not a piscivore; Table 6) at 210 Rock decreased for six species from an aggregate 45,508 to 18,132 fish/ha; however, CPUE by headboat anglers increased in the study area for 13 of 16 piscivorous fishes (excluding pelagic fishes like jacks and mackerels; Table 4). Thus, change to a more tropical faunal composition can be reasonably explained only by the absence of severely low water temperatures. Our subtidal reef fish study, the northwest Atlantic Ocean subtidal demersal and pelagic fish study by Murawski (1993), and the California intertidal invertebrate study by Barry et al. (1995) all indicate that bottom water temperatures along the northern U.S. continental shelf are warming, that thermal conditions of the oceans in general are changing, and that previously both temperate and tropical faunal communities are concurrently shifting toward a more tropical composition of species.

Acknowledgments

We thank our boat captain, Doug Willis, for his navigational prowess and safety-oriented surface support. We are grateful to all participating divers and in particular those that helped with fish counts and identification: Mike Burton, Tim Hansel, Roger Mays, José Rivera, and Steve Ross. We thank David Colby for his help with statistical analyses. We extend special thanks to Gene Huntsman, our retired team leader, for his steadfast support for the collection of fishery independent data and long-term data sets.

References

- Anderson, G. R. V., and five coauthors. 1981. The community structure of coral reef fishes. *American Naturalist* 117:476–495.
- Barnola, J. M., D. Raynaud, Y. S. Korotkevich, and C. Lorius. 1987. Vostok ice core provides a 160,000 year record of atmospheric CO₂. *Nature* 329:408–414.
- Barry, J. P., C. H. Baxter, R. D. Sagarin, and S. E. Gilman. 1995. Climate-related, long-term faunal changes in a California rocky intertidal community. *Science* 267:672–675.
- Beaumariage, D. S. 1969. Returns from the 1965 Schlitz tagging program including a cumulative analysis of previous results. Florida Department of Natural Resources, Technical Series 59, Tallahassee.
- Blanton, J. O. 1971. Exchange of Gulf Stream water with North Carolina shelf water in Onslow Bay during stratified conditions. *Deep-Sea Research* 18: 167–178.
- Blanton, J. O., and L. J. Pietrafesa. 1978. Flushing of the outer continental shelf south of Cape Hatteras by the Gulf Stream. *Geophysical Research Letters* 5:495–498.
- Brock, R. E., C. Lewis, and R. C. Wass. 1979. Stability and structure of a fish community on a coral patch reef in Hawaii. *Marine Biology* 54:281–292.
- Colin, P. L., D. Weiler, and D. Y. Shapiro. 1987. Aspects of the reproduction of two species of grouper, *Epinephelus guttatus* and *E. striatus*, in the West Indies. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 40:220–230.
- Dixon, R. L. 1975. Evidence for mesopelagic feeding by the vermilion snapper, *Rhomboplites aurorubens*. *Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society* 91: 240–242.
- Dixon, R. L., and G. R. Huntsman. In press. Estimating catches and fishing effort of the southeast United States headboat fleet, 1972–1982. NOAA Technical Report, NMFS.
- Fable, W. A., Jr. 1980. Tagging studies of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) and vermilion snapper (*Rhomboplites aurorubens*) off the south Texas coast. *Marine Science* 23:115–121.
- Gilmore, R. G., and R. S. Jones. 1992. Color variation and associated behavior in the Epinepheline groupers, *Mycteroperca microlepis* (Goode and Bean) and *M. phenax* Jordan and Swain. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 51:83–103.
- Gladfelter, W. B., J. C. Odgen, and E. H. Gladfelter. 1980. Similarity and diversity among coral reef fish communities: a comparison between tropical western Atlantic (Virgin Islands) and tropical central Pacific (Marshall Islands) patch reefs. *Ecology* 61: 1156–1168.
- Goreau, T. J., and R. L. Hayes. 1994. Coral bleaching and ocean “hot spots.” *Ambio* 23:176–180.
- Greene, L. E., and J. M. Shenker. 1993. The effects of human activity on the temporal variability of coral reef fish assemblages in the Key Largo National Marine Sanctuary. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 3:189–205.
- Hansen, J., and S. Lebedeff. 1987. Global trends of measured surface air temperatures. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 92:13345–13372.
- Hofmann, E. E., L. J. Pietrafesa, and L. P. Atkinson. 1981. A bottom water intrusion in Onslow Bay, North Carolina. *Deep-Sea Research* 28:329–345.
- Huntsman, G. R., and six coauthors. 1992. A stock assessment of the snapper-grouper complex in the U.S. South Atlantic based on fish caught in 1990.

- Report to the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Charleston, South Carolina.
- Kerr, R. A. 1995. Scientists see greenhouse, semiofficially. *Science* 269:1667.
- Mathews, T. D., and O. Pashuk. 1984. Shelfwater response to the cold winters of 1977 and 1978 in the South Atlantic Bight (SAB). *Litoralia* 1:41–58.
- Mathews, T. D., and O. Pashuk. 1986. Summer and winter hydrography of the U.S. South Atlantic Bight (1973–1979). *Journal of Coastal Research* 2:311–336.
- Murawski, S. A. 1993. Climate change and marine fish distributions: forecasting from historical analogy. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 122: 647–658.
- Newton, J. G., O. H. Pilkey, and J. O. Blanton. 1971. An oceanographic atlas of the Carolina continental margin. North Carolina Department of Conservation and Development, Division of Mineral Resources, Raleigh.
- Parker, R. O., Jr. 1990. Tagging studies and diver observations of fish populations on live-bottom reefs of the U.S. southeastern coast. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 46:749–760.
- Parker, R. O., Jr., and R. W. Mays. 1998. Southeastern United States deepwater reef fish assemblages, habitat characteristics, catches, and life history summaries. NOAA Technical Report, NMFS 138.
- Parker, R. O., Jr., and S. W. Ross. 1986. Observing reef fishes from submersibles off North Carolina. *North-east Gulf Science* 8:31–49.
- Parker, R. O., Jr., R. B. Stone, and C. C. Buchanan. 1979. Artificial reefs off Murrells Inlet, South Carolina. U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service. *Marine Fisheries Review* 41(9):12–24.
- Robins, C. R., G. C. Ray, J. Douglass, and R. Freund. 1986. Peterson field guides: Atlantic coast fishes. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Robins, C. R., and six coauthors. 1991. Common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada, 5th edition. American Fisheries Society, Special Publication 20, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Sale, P. F. 1977. Maintenance of high diversity in coral reef communities. *American Naturalist* 111:337–359.
- Sale, P. F. 1978a. Coexistence of coral reef fish—a lottery for living space. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 3:85–102.
- Sale, P. F. 1978b. Change patterns of demographic change in population of territorial fish in coral rubble patches on Heron Reef. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 34:233–244.
- Sale, P. F. 1980a. Assemblages of fish on 598 patch reefs—predictable or unpredictable? *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 5:243–249.
- Sale, P. F. 1980b. The ecology of fishes on coral reefs. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review* 18:367–421.
- Sale, P. F. and R. Dybdahl. 1978. Determinants of community structure for coral reef fishes in isolated coral head at lagoonal and reef slope sites. *Oecologia (Berlin)* 34:57–74.
- SCWMRD (South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department, Marine Resources Research Institute) and DUML (Duke University Marine Laboratory). 1982. South Atlantic OCS area living marine resources study, year II, volume 3. Duke University, Beaufort, North Carolina.
- Smith, C. L. 1978. Coral reef fish communities: a compromise view. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 3: 109–128.
- Smith, C. L., and J. C. Tyler. 1975. Succession and stability in fish communities of dome-shaped patch reefs in the West Indies. *American Museum Novitates* 2572:1–18.
- Stefansson, U., L. P. Atkinson, and D. F. Bumpus. 1971. Hydrographic properties and circulation of the North Carolina shelf and slope waters. *Deep-Sea Research* 18:383–420.
- Talbot, F. H., B. C. Russell, and G. R. V. Anderson. 1978. Coral reef fish communities: unstable, high diversity system? *Ecological Monographs* 48:425–440.
- World Meteorological Organization. 1990. A scientific review presented by the world climate research programme. ICSU (International Council of Scientific Unions) Press, Paris.

Received September 19, 1996

Accepted February 27, 1998

Appendix: Frequency Data for Observed Species

TABLE A.1.—Number (and percent) of scuba dives on 210 Rock near Beaufort, North Carolina, during which fish and sponge species were observed (=frequency of occurrence) during October 1975–March 1980 and April 1990–August 1993 (48 and 31 dives, respectively). Fish species are listed by Family in the same order as in Robins et al. (1991).

Taxon ^a	Number (%) during:	
	1975–1980 ^b	1990–1993
Fish		
Rhincodontidae		
<i>Ginglymostoma cirratum</i>		
nurse shark	2 (4.2)	
Odontaspidae		
<i>Odontaspis taurus</i> sand tiger	1 (2.1)	
Carcharhinidae		
<i>Carcharhinus leucas</i> bull shark	1 (2.1)	
<i>C. obscurus</i> dusky shark		1 (3.2)
<i>Galeocerdo cuvier</i> tiger shark	1 (2.1)	
<i>Rhizoprionodon terraenovae</i>		
Atlantic sharpnose shark	5 (10.4)	
Sphyrnidae		
<i>Sphyrna</i> sp. hammerhead	1 (2.1)	
Dasyatidae		
<i>Dasyatis</i> sp. stingray	3 (6.3)	2 (6.5)
Muraenidae		
<i>Gymnothorax moringa</i>		
spotted moray (S)	5 (10.4)	5 (16.1)
<i>G. saxicola</i> honeycomb moray (S)	1 (2.1)	
<i>Muraena retifera</i>		
reticulate moray (S)	3 (6.3)	
Ophichthidae		
<i>Myrichthys breviceps</i>		
sharptail eel (S)		4 (12.9)
Congridae		
<i>Conger</i> sp. eel ^c (S)	3 (6.3)	
Clupeidae		
<i>Sardinella aurita</i> Spanish sardine	2 (4.2)	
Synodontidae		
<i>Synodus foetens</i>		
inshore lizardfish (S)		6 (19.4)
Gadidae		
<i>Urophycis earlIIi</i> Carolina hake (S)	9 (18.8)	2 (6.5)
Batrachoididae		
<i>Opsanus</i> sp. toadfish ^d (S)		1 (3.2)
Lophiidae		
<i>Lophius americanus</i> goosefish (N)	1 (2.1)	
Holocentridae		
<i>Holocentrus adscensionis</i>		
squirrelfish (S)		10 (32.3)
Aulostomidae		
<i>Aulostomus maculatus</i>		
trumpetfish (S)		7 (22.6)
Fistulariidae		
<i>Fistularia petimba</i>		
red cornetfish (S)		2 (6.5)
Scorpaenidae		
<i>Scorpaena dispar</i>		
hunchback scorpionfish (S)	1 (2.1)	
Serranidae		
<i>*Centropristis ocyurus</i>		
bank sea bass (S)	44 (91.7)	30 (96.8)
<i>*C. striata</i> black sea bass (N)	44 (91.7)	21 (67.7)

TABLE A.1.—Continued.

Taxon ^a	Number (%) during:	
	1975–1980 ^b	1990–1993
<i>Diplectrum formosum</i>		
sand perch (S)	1 (2.1)	6 (19.4)
<i>*Epinephelus adscensionis</i>		
rock hind (S)		13 (41.9)
<i>*E. cruentatus</i> graysby (S)		5 (16.1)
<i>*E. morio</i> red grouper (S)	3 (6.3)	10 (32.3)
<i>*E. guttatus</i> red hind (S)		2 (6.5)
<i>Hypoplectrus unicolor</i>		
butter hamlet (S)		20 (64.5)
<i>Liopropoma eukrines</i>		
wrasse bass (S)	9 (18.8)	20 (64.5)
<i>*Mycteroperca interstitialis</i>		
yellowmouth grouper (S)		8 (25.8)
<i>*M. microlepis</i> gag (S)	48 (100.0)	30 (96.8)
<i>*M. phenax</i> scamp (S)	20 (41.7)	30 (96.8)
<i>Rypticus maculatus</i>		
whitespotted soapfish (S)	29 (60.4)	21 (67.7)
<i>Serranus phoebe</i> tattler (S)		3 (9.7)
<i>S. subligarius</i> belted sandfish (S)	41 (85.4)	23 (74.2)
<i>S. tigrinus</i> harlequin bass (S)	3 (6.3)	17 (54.8)
Priacanthidae		
<i>Priacanthus arenatus</i> bigeye (S)		18 (58.1)
<i>P. cruentatus</i> glasseye snapper (S)		3 (9.7)
Apogonidae		
<i>Apogon pseudomaculatus</i>		
twospot cardinalfish (S)	24 (50.0)	15 (48.4)
Rachycentridae		
<i>Rachycentron canadum</i> cobia		2 (6.5)
Echeneidae		
<i>Remora remora</i> remora		1 (3.2)
Carangidae		
<i>Caranx bartholomaei</i> yellow jack		5 (16.1)
<i>C. crysos</i> blue runner	4 (8.3)	
<i>C. ruber</i> bar jack	2 (4.2)	11 (35.5)
<i>Decapterus punctatus</i> round scad	26 (54.2)	5 (16.1)
<i>*Seriola dumerili</i> greater amberjack	41 (85.4)	28 (90.3)
<i>*S. rivoliana</i> almaco jack	7 (14.6)	11 (35.5)
<i>S. zonata</i> banded rudderfish		4 (12.9)
Coryphaenidae		
<i>Coryphaena hippurus</i> dolphin		2 (6.5)
Lutjanidae		
<i>*Lutjanus apodus</i> schoolmaster (S)		2 (6.5)
<i>*L. campechanus</i> red snapper (S)	17 (35.4)	1 (3.2)
<i>*Rhomboplites aurorubens</i>		
vermillion snapper (S)	7 (14.6)	
Gerreidae		
Unidentified mojarra		1 (3.2)
Haemulidae		
<i>*Haemulon aurolineatum</i>		
tomtate (S)	31 (64.6)	26 (83.9)
<i>*H. plumieri</i> white grunt (S)	45 (93.8)	30 (96.8)
Sparidae		
<i>*Archosargus probatocephalus</i>		
sheepshead (N)	2 (4.2)	
<i>*Calamus leucosteus</i>		
whitebone porgy (S)	25 (52.1)	18 (58.1)
<i>*C. nodosus</i> knobbed porgy (S)	12 (25.0)	30 (96.8)
<i>*Diplodus holbrookii</i>		
spottail pinfish (S)	34 (70.8)	14 (45.2)
<i>*Pagrus pagrus</i> red porgy (S)	29 (60.4)	14 (45.2)
<i>Stenotomus caprinus</i>		
longspine porgy (S)	8 (16.7)	

TABLE A.1.—Continued.

Taxon ^a	Number (%) during:	
	1975–1980 ^b	1990–1993
Sciaenidae		
<i>Equetus lanceolatus</i>		
jackknife-fish (S)	5 (10.4)	11 (35.5)
<i>E. punctatus</i> spotted drum (S)	2 (4.2)	
<i>E. umbrosus</i> cubbyu (S)	39 (81.3)	27 (87.1)
Mullidae		
<i>Mulloidichthys martinicus</i>		
yellow goatfish (S)	1 (2.1)	9 (29.0)
<i>Pseudupeneus maculatus</i>		
spotted goatfish (S)	1 (2.1)	17 (54.8)
Kyphosidae		
<i>Kyphosus</i> sp. sea chub (S)	2 (4.2)	
Ephippidae		
<i>Chaetodipterus faber</i>		
Atlantic spadefish	6 (12.5)	9 (29.0)
Chaetodontidae		
<i>Chaetodon ocellatus</i>		
spotfin butterflyfish (S)	9 (18.8)	22 (71.0)
<i>C. sedentarius</i> reef butterflyfish (S)	2 (4.2)	13 (41.9)
<i>C. striatus</i> banded butterflyfish (S)		6 (19.4)
Pomacanthidae		
<i>Holacanthus bermudensis</i>		
blue angelfish (S)	16 (33.3)	30 (96.8)
<i>H. ciliaris</i> queen angelfish (S)	2 (4.2)	21 (67.7)
<i>H. tricolor</i> rock beauty (S)		2 (6.5)
<i>Pomacanthus paru</i>		
French angelfish (S)		4 (12.9)
Pomacentridae		
<i>Abudefduf taurus</i> night sergeant (S)		9 (29.0)
<i>Chromis cyanea</i> blue chromis (S)	3 (6.3)	7 (22.6)
<i>C. enchrysurus</i>		
yellowtail reef fish (S)	36 (75.0)	25 (80.6)
<i>C. insolata</i> sunshinefish (S)	1 (2.1)	14 (45.2)
<i>C. multilineata</i> brown chromis (S)	1 (2.1)	1 (3.2)
<i>C. scotti</i> purple reef fish (S)	45 (93.8)	29 (93.5)
<i>Microspathodon chrysurus</i>		
yellowtail damselfish (S)	1 (2.1)	
<i>Pomacentrus fuscus</i>		
dusky damselfish (S)	3 (6.3)	11 (35.5)
<i>P. partitus</i> bicolor damselfish (S)	18 (37.5)	24 (77.4)
<i>P. variabilis</i> cocoa damselfish (S)	20 (41.7)	27 (87.1)
Sphyraenidae		
<i>Sphyraena barracuda</i>		
great barracuda	11 (21.6)	11 (32.4)
Labridae		
<i>Bodianus pulchellus</i>		
spotfin hogfish (S)	8 (16.7)	29 (93.5)
<i>B. rufus</i> Spanish hogfish (S)	15 (31.3)	26 (83.9)
<i>Clepticus parrae</i> creole wrasse (S)		3 (9.7)
<i>Halichoeres bivittatus</i>		
slippery dick (S)	39 (81.3)	27 (87.1)
<i>H. garnoti</i> , yellowhead wrasse (S)	10 (20.8)	13 (41.9)
* <i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i> hogfish (S)		24 (77.4)
<i>Tautoga onitis</i> tautog (N)	17 (35.4)	13 (41.9)
<i>Thalassoma bifasciatum</i>		
bluehead (S)	9 (18.8)	21 (67.7)
Scaridae		
<i>Scarus</i> sp. parrotfish (S)		11 (35.5)
<i>Sparisoma viride</i>		
stoplight parrotfish (S)		2 (6.5)
<i>Sparisoma</i> sp. parrotfish (S)		11 (35.5)

TABLE A.1.—Continued.

Taxon ^a	Number (%) during:	
	1975–1980 ^b	1990–1993
Blenniidae		
<i>Hypleurochilus geminatus</i>		
crested blenny (S)	2 (4.2)	
<i>Parablennius marmoreus</i>		
seaweed blenny (S)	19 (47.1)	7 (32.4)
Gobiidae		
<i>Coryphopterus punctipectorphorus</i>		
spotted goby (S)	14 (29.2)	5 (16.1)
<i>Gobiosoma oceanops</i>		
neon goby (S)	2 (4.2)	2 (6.5)
<i>Gobiosoma</i> sp. goby (S)		2 (6.5)
<i>Ioglossus calliurus</i> blue goby (S)	9 (18.8)	11 (35.5)
Acanthuridae		
<i>Acanthurus bahianus</i>		
ocean surgeon (S)	4 (8.3)	9 (29.0)
<i>A. chirurgus</i> doctorfish (S)		21 (67.7)
<i>A. coeruleus</i> blue tang (S)	2 (4.2)	17 (54.8)
Scombridae		
* <i>Euthynnus alletteratus</i> little tunny	3 (6.3)	
* <i>Scomberomorus cavalla</i>		
king mackerel	10 (20.8)	1 (3.2)
Balistidae		
<i>Aluterus scriptus</i>		
scrawled filefish (S)		1 (3.2)
* <i>Balistes caprisicus</i>		
gray triggerfish (S)	18 (37.5)	13 (41.9)
<i>Monacanthus hispidus</i>		
planehead filefish (S)	28 (58.3)	29 (93.5)
Ostraciidae		
<i>Lactophrys</i> sp. boxfish (S)		1 (3.2)
Tetraodontidae		
<i>Canthigaster rostrata</i>		
sharpnose puffer (S)	1 (2.1)	3 (9.7)
<i>Diodon</i> sp. puffer (S)	1 (2.1)	
<i>Sphoeroides maculatus</i>		
northern puffer (N)	2 (4.2)	1 (3.2)
<i>S. spengleri</i> bandtail puffer (S)	3 (6.3)	22 (71.0)
Molidae		
<i>Mola mola</i> ocean sunfish	2 (4.2)	
Sponge		
Nepheliospongiidae		
<i>Xestospongia muta</i>		
basket sponge		X ^c
Summary data		
Total species	85	96
Total families	34	38

^a An asterisk (*) indicates a target species (important in the recreational and commercial fisheries); S = tropical species; N = temperate species; species without a symbol designation (e.g., sharks, jacks, mackerels, etc.) were not the main concern of this study.

^b Some totals differ from the published study (Parker 1990) because three stations were eliminated for locality comparison, and counting errors were corrected.

^c Or *Paraconger caudilimbatus* margintail conger (S).

^d *Opsanus* sp. is probably an undescribed offshore form.

^e Although invertebrates were not usually recorded, the first observation of basket sponges was noted during our resurvey of the 210 Rock, and basket sponges were the subject of many underwater pictures and notations on cleaning stations throughout the second survey period.